

## **ABSTRACT REVIEW CRITERIA – 10<sup>th</sup> International Clinical Skills Conference 2025**

Assessment Category Definitions (modified after the International Academic Forum (IAFOR):  
see also: <https://tinyurl.com/yjchm752>

### **5 – Excellent**

The abstract is clear, concise and extremely well written. The content is deemed to be significant, original, thought-provoking, timely, and relevant for either health profession researcher or educators. The abstract is considered worthy of presentation.

### **4 – Good**

The abstract is clear, concise and well written. The content is deemed to be relevant, thought-provoking and timely. The abstract is considered worthy of presentation.

### **3 – Average**

The abstract is well written but there may be problems in clarity and presentation. The content is deemed to be relevant and timely, although may be less thought-provoking, significant and original than in proposals considered “Excellent” or “Good”. The abstract is considered worthy of presentation.

### **2 – Poor or Borderline Acceptable**

The abstract may be reasonably well written but with problems in clarity and presentation and/or questions about the originality of the abstract. Some aspects may be difficult to understand. The abstract may be considered worthy of presentation if certain revisions are made. The reviewer may suggest revisions before it is considered worthy of presentation.

### **1 – Very Poor**

The abstract may be considered to contain unoriginal work, or may not be relevant to the conference in question. Language and comprehension difficulties may render the text difficult to follow. The reviewer does not consider the abstract worthy of presentation, and does not believe that a simple reworking would resolve the issues.

We strive to include most abstracts as posters rather than oral lectures as appropriate. However, some abstracts may be rejected for the following reasons:

1. The abstract topic is not relevant to the conference.
2. The abstract is plagiarized from some other work.
3. The abstract contains insufficient detail.
4. The abstract was submitted after the deadline.